## THE ROMANIAN HISTORIOGRAPHY IN THE 1990's

Bogdan Murgescu\*

## Abstract

The quantity and quality of Romanian historians work improved seriously after the fall of communism. Altough the new generation of historians, historians that borrowed the know-how from the West, have to face many obstacles, the battle is not lost already. The battle between those who want to revive the "grand-narrative" from communism and those who are trying to deconstruct some unfounded myths is still on the scene. Ideological constraints and political active involvement in sustaining the "grand-narrative" are serious obstacles for those trying to promote new methodological frameworks and debates. It seems that the state still holds the power to determine the official writers of Romanian history by encouraging a certain number of chosen historians to write a treaty under the aegis of Romanian Academy. Whatsoever, the deconstructivist generation managed to survive and their work to be appreciated by a serious number of intellectuals. Foreign grants are permitting nominal research and each historian can follow its own academic choice.

**Key words**: grand-narrative, deconstructivism, reluctance to change, historian debate, mentalities

<sup>\*</sup> Bogdan Murgescu, Ph.D. in history at the University of Bucharest and also a Roman Herzog Fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation in Berlin . He is currently professor at the History Department of the University of Bucharest, and has been visiting professor also at the University of Pittsburgh.